ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prevalence of Post-operative Wound Infections in Rural area of Latur District

Vikram Rajput¹, **Basawraj S Warad**², **Anupam B Takwale**³ and **Saurabh S Ajalkar**⁴ *Professor & Head*¹, *Professor*², Senior Resident³ and Junior Resident⁴ *M. I. M. S. R. Medical College, Latur, India.*

ABSTRACT:

Background: Surgical site infection (SSI) continues to be a major source of morbidity following operative procedures. The modern surgeon cannot escape the responsibility of dealing with infections, having the knowledge for the appropriate use of aseptic and antiseptic technique, proper use of prophylactic and therapeutic antibiotics, and adequate monitoring and support with novel surgical and pharmacologic as well as nonpharmacologic aids.

Objective: To study the most common organisms encountered in postoperative wound infections and to find out the most effective Antibiotics in case of Post Operative Wound Infections.

Methodology: It is an Observational study done on 50 operated cases of post operative wounds.

Results: Most common microorganism encountered in present series was E. coli in 13 cases (26%). Least common micro organism was Proteus (2%). E. coli was also encountered in mixed culture with Kleibsiella. Pseudomonas and Citrobacter. Most effective antibiotic in present series was Imipenem. Other common effective antibiotics were Amikacin. Netillin. Piperacillin, Tetracycline and Gentamycin. Least effective antibiotics were Penicillin, Cefotaxime, Cefuroxime and Cefoxitin. **Conclusion:** E. coli was the most common organism cultured. Imipenem and Amikacin were the most effective antibiotics.

Keywords: Postoperative, Wound Infection, E. coli, SSI, Organisms

INTRODUCTION:

Surgical site infection (SSI) continues to be a major source of morbidity following operative procedures. Wound infection is the commonest and most troublesome disorder of wound healing. Even though the complete elimination of wound infections is not possible, a reduction of the observed wound infection rate to a minimum level could have marked benefits in terms of both patient comfort and resources used.^{1,2} Despite many decades of the application of refined surgical techniques, environmental changes in the operating room (OR), and the use of preventive antibiotics, infection at the surgical site remains a too common event.

With the introduction of antibiotic therapy in the middle of the 20th century a new adjunctive method to treat and prevent surgical infections was fostered. The modern surgeon cannot escape the responsibility of dealing with infections and in dealing with them, of having the knowledge for the appropriate use of aseptic and antiseptic technique, proper use of prophylactic and therapeutic antibiotics, and adequate monitoring and support with novel surgical and pharmacologic as well as non pharmacologic aids. Basic understanding of how the body defends itself against infection is essential to a rational application of surgical and other therapeutic principles to the control of infection³.

Thus, in an era during which economic costs are a source of increasing concern in surgery, SSI prolongs hospitalization and increases many other costs that could be avoided if infection had not occurred

In the present study an attempt has been made to find out the most common microorganisms associated with post wound infections and to find out the Choice of Antibiotics for Post Operative Wound Infections.

Methodology:

It is an Observational study done during 1 November 2011 to 1 November 2013 in MIMSR Medical College, Latur on all operated patients with exclusion of previously infected wound, patients with Diabetes, Anaemia, HIV and Steroid Dependency. An elaborate study of these cases with regard to date of admission, history, clinical features of wound infection, special investigation, type of surgery, preoperative preparation and postoperative management is done till patient is discharged from hospital

Procedure in laboratory:

As soon as swabs were received in laboratory, swabs were immediately put in glucose broth, the content were inoculated to blood agar and Mac Conkey agar plates and incubated at 37⁰C for 18 to 24 hours. Colonies were studied morphologically. Further colonies were inoculated in peptone water for gram negative bacilli and glucose broth for gram positive cocci. Both were incubated for 4 hours. Organisms were identified by using standard procedures.⁴ The antibiotic sensitivity study was carried out by Kirby – Bauer disc diffusion method.⁵ **Observations**

Most common microorganism encountered in present series was E. coli in 13 cases (26%). Least common microorganism was Proteus (2%). E. coli was also encountered in mixed culture with Klebsiella, Pseudomonas and Citrobacter (Table 1).

Microorganisms	No. of Cases	Percentage
E. coli	13	26
P. aeruginosa	11	22
S. aureus	10	20
Citrobacter	2	4
Klebsiella	2	4
E. coli + P. aeruginosa	2	4
E. Coli + Citrobacter	2	4
Proteus	1	2

Table 1: Distribution of Microorganisms Associated with Post Operative Wound Infections

WIMJOURNAL, Volume No. 2, Issue No. 1, 2015

Proteus + Acinetobacter	1	2
E. coli + Klebsiella	1	2
Culture Sterile	5	10
Total	50	100

Table 2: Antibiotic sensitivity and resistance

Antibiotic	Sensitive	Percentage	Resistance	Percentage
AK	21	42	24	48
Ca	4	8	41	82
Ce	0	0	45	90
CF	12	24	33	66
Ci	5	10	40	80
CN	0	0	45	90
СО	9	18	36	72
СРМ	6	12	39	78
CS	5	10	40	80
CU	0	0	45	90
G	16	32	29	58
GF	14	28	31	62
Ι	26	52	19	38
Le	12	24	33	66
Mr	14	28	31	62
Nt	20	40	25	50
OF	10	20	35	70
Р	0	0	45	90
Pt	17	34	28	56
Т	16	32	29	58



Discussion:

Most common organism encountered in postoperative wound infection in this study was E. coli in 13 cases accounting for 26%. The second most common organism in this study was pseudomonas in 11 cases accounting for 22%. The least common organism were Kleibsiella and Proteus.

The reason for E. coli being most common organism is that majority of patients getting postoperative wound infection, have undergone surgery for hollow viscus perforation and E. coli being the most common organism found in intestinal flora, might have contaminated the wound.

Agarwal et al.⁶ showed E. coli as

commonest organism in postoperative wound infections. Kowli et al.⁷ shows that staphylococcus aureus as predominant micro-organism isolated i.e. 45.6%. in our study staphylococcus is the third most common organism accounting for 20%. Tripathy and Roy⁸ showed predominance of Proteus and Pseudomonas in their study. Our findings are similar to observations by Agarwal et al.⁶

In our study the most effective antibiotics were Imipenem, Amikacin, Netillin, Piperacillin, Tetracycline and Gentamicin. The least effective antibiotics were Penicillin, Cefotaxime, Cefuroxime and Cefoxitin. Our findings are quite similar to these earlier studies^{7,8} (Table 3).

Author	Kowli et al. ⁷	Tripathy and Roy ⁸	Our study
Antibiotic sensitive	Cloxacillin	Cotrimoxazole	Imipenem
	Cotrimoxazole	Chloramphenicol	Amikacin
	Chloramphenicol	Gentamicin	Netillin
	Cephaloridine		Piperacillin
	Gentamicin		Tetracycline
	Kanamycin		Gentamicin
Antibiotic resistant	-	Penicillin	Penicillin
		Tetracycline	Cefotaxime
		Ampicillin	Cefuroxime
		Erythromycin	Cefoxitin

 Table : Antibiotics sensitivity and resistance

A number of studies in the literature emergence of antibiotic-resistant indicated a gradual increase in the microorganisms in patients undergoing surgery (Green and Wenzel,⁹ Taylor et al.,¹⁰ Cruse and Foord, 1980,¹¹ Agarwal,⁶). S. aureus in surgical site infection is mainly due to its predominant role in hospital cross-infection and emergence of virulent antibiotic-resistant strains. In the present study, S. aureus strains from the infected wound were resistant to penicillin. Ineffectiveness of penicillin against S. aureus has been reported by Green and Wenzel (1977)⁹, Taylor et al. (1990),¹⁰ Nicols et al.¹² and Berard and Gandon.¹³

These results show that E. coli was the most common microorganism associated with post operative wound infections, and Imipenem and Amikacin are the most sensitive antibiotics. Penicillin, Cefotaxime, Cefuroxime and Cefoxitin are the most resistant antibiotics.

References:

- Nicholas RL. Wound Infection rates following clean operative procedures: Can assume them below? (Editorial). Infect Cont Hosp Epidemiol1992:13:455.
- Robert G Sawyer and Timothy L Pruett. Wound infection. Surgical Clinics of North America 1994 June; 74 (3): 519-536.
- Courtney M. Townsend. 'Sabiston Txtbook of Surgery'. Chapter 12. In Courtney M. Townsend, Daniel

Beauchamp, B. Mark Evers, Kenneth L. Mottox, Surgical Infections and Choice of Antibiotics.17th edition, Vol.1, W.B. Saunders, 2005:257-283.

- Colles JG, Miles RS, Watt B. Mackie and McCartney Practical Medical Microbiolgy 14th ed, In Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP and Simmons A, eds.Tests for identification of bacteria. Haryana: Churchill- Livingstone, 2006: 131-49.
- Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC and Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. Am J Clin Pathol 1966; 45: 493-6.
- Agarwal PK. Incidence of postoperative wound infection Aligarh. Indian Journal of Surgery 1984June-July;46 (6&7):326-333.
- Kowli SS, Nayak MH, Mehta AP, Bhalerao RA. Hospital Infection. Indian Journal of Surgery 1985 Nov.Dec.;47 (11):475-486.
- Tripathy BS and Roy N. Postoperative wound sepsis. Indian journal of surgery 1984 June-July;46 (6&7): 285-288.
- Green JW, Wenzel RP (1977).
 Postoperative wound infection. A controlled study of increased duration

of hospital stay and direct cost of hospitalization. Ann. Surg. 185: 264-268.

- Taylor GJ, Mikell FL, Moses HW, Dove JT, Katholi RE, Malik SA (1990). Determinants of hospital charges for coronary arery bypass surgery.
- 11. Cruse PJE, Foord R. The epidemiology of wound infection: A
 10 year prospective study of 62,939 wounds. Surg Clin North Ann 1980;60:27.

Address for Correspondence

12. Nichols RL, Smith JW, Garcia RY, Waterman RS, Holmes JW. Current practices of preoperative bowel preparation among North American colorectal surgeons. Clin Infect Dis. 1997;24:609-619.

 Berard F, Gandon J (1964). Postoperative wound infections: The influence of ultraviolet irradiation of the operating room and of variousother factors. Ann.Surg.160:1-132.

Dr. Vikram Rajput Professor & Head, Dept. of Surgery, MIMSR Medical College, Latur – 413 531 (M.S.) India E- mail - aryatej2014@gmail.com

©Walawalkar International Medical Journal